

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,938	12/08/2003	Sachiko Nemoto	5243-002-US01	8989	
7590 6825/2010 HANIFY & KING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1055 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RIVAS, SALVADOR E		
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2477		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/25/2010	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	* * *					
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/728,938	NEMOTO ET AL.				
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SALVADOR E. RIVAS	2477				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>SALVADOR E. RIVAS</u> . (3)						
(2) <u>MICHAEL SCHWARTZ (REG. No. 40,161)</u> . (4)						
Date of Interview: 20 August 2010 Time: 11:30 AM						
Type of Interview:						
If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 5						
Prior art documents discussed: Kong et al. (US-PGPUB # 2002/0176450 A1)						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>						
/Chirag G Shah/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477						
(A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/728,938

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and Mr.Michael Schwartz discussed claim 5 of application #10/728.938. The examiner proposed to Mr.Schwartz to cancelling claim 5 based on new prior art (Kong et al. ref) found. The examiner explained to Mr.Schwartz how the new prior art overcame the applicant arguments (see page 6 last line and page 7 lines 1-3 of applicant's remarks). No agreement was reached with regrads to claim 5. Mr.Schwartz recommended to the examiner to issue a new action with regards to claim 5 and the new prior art presented in order for applicant to review and respond to the new prior art.